

DIVISION OF RESEARCH PROGRAMS

COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH

EVALUATION CRITERIA December 2014

- 1. The intellectual significance of the project, including its potential contribution to scholarship in the humanities; the likelihood that it will produce an interpretive study and stimulate new research; its relationship to larger themes in the humanities; and the significance of the material on which the project is based.
- 2. The clarity of the research questions being posed, the appropriateness of research methods or conference design; the appropriateness of the technology employed in the project; the feasibility of the work plan; and the appropriateness of the field work to be undertaken, the archival or source materials to be studied, and the research site.
- 3. The qualifications, expertise, and levels of commitment of the project director and project staff or contributors, and the appropriateness and value of the collaboration.
- 4. The soundness of the dissemination and access plans, including benefit to the audience identified in the proposal and the strength of the case for employing print, a digital format, or a combination of media; the sustainability of the print and/or digital dissemination. For projects producing digital materials, all other considerations being equal, NEH will give preference to those that provide free access to materials produced with grant funds.
- 5. The potential for success, including the likelihood that the work proposed will be completed within the projected time frame; where appropriate, the project's previous record of success; and the reasonableness of the proposed budget in relation to projected results.

Program guidelines available at

http://www.neh.gov/grants/research/collaborative-research-grants